THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN

SAMPLE COPY

IN DEFENSE OF THE CONSTITUTION

Subscribers In All 49 States

RETURN ADDRESS
7314 Zimpel Street
New Orleans 18, Louisiana

BULK RATE
U. S. POSTAGE
PAID
New Orleans, La.
Permit No. 330

FORM 3547 Requested

Vol. V - No. 6 | AUGUST - SEPTEMBER, 1959 | New Orleans, La.

Is Nixon Through?

WILL NIXON BE VICTIM OF HIS OWN SELL-OUT?

By Phoebe Courtney, Editor

The nation is finally recovering from the body-blow delivered by Eisenhower's disgraceful invitation to Khrushchev to visit the United States. Now that the first wave of leftwing publicity that blanketed this nation has receded, the American people are beginning to see the full implications of this shameful act on the part of Eisenhower and Nixon.

As the smoke from the leftwing propaganda barrage clears, certain facts begin to stand out in bold relief.

We were told with nauseating details all about the "kitchen encounter" between Khrushchev and Nixon in Moscow. Unthinking, guillible, and hopelessly hoping Americans took it as a good sign that Nixon had "stood up to" Khrushchev and traded verbal blows. These same guillible Americans were told that Nixon had thus gotten his message to all the Russian people, because the "kitchen encounter" took place before a TV camera, and that this was, therefore, a first step toward peace.

How far - how tragically far from the real truth!

The truth according to the noted Washington newsletter HUMAN EVENTS is that "Nixon's address was not relayed or retransmitted to domestic radio stations in Russia, and that it did not reach millions of Russian citizens." As a matter of fact, about all that Nixon "accomplished" in the "kitchen encounter" with Khrushchev was to be told by Khrushchev: "We can destroy New York overnight".

And now, by Nixon's enthusiastic endorsement of Khrushchev's visit to the U. S., we see that Nixon, leading GOP contender for the presidency in 1960, has in fact become merely an "advance man" on Khrushchev's "campaign train" as Khrushchev tours the U. S.!

THE SELL-OUT BACKFIRES

It is quite possible that Nixon still does not realize what has happened to him. It is possible that he does not realize yet that he has "appeased" himself into political destruction. Obviously, Nixon has believed the so-called polls that show him far in the lead over the only other contender, Rockefeller. But Nixon, if he had spent more time thinking about the rising temper of the American people instead of shaking the bloody hand of The Butcher of Budapest, would have realized that the American people can overnight turn against a public figure if they feel that he has betrayed them and the United States!

Nixon apparently doesn't know - but he will soon find out - that he has become a victim of one of the most cleverly-laid traps ever set for a national political figure. Nixon won't be "smeared" - he has smeared himself by his own unforgivable actions of fraternizing with America's mortal enemy and then compounding that base act by having the appalling effrontery to sponsor and promote Khrushchev's visit to this country to desecrate our soil.

But the interesting fact is that evidence is beginning to mount that this "Destroy Nixon" maneuver is not an accident. It has been masterfully planned by Rockefeller's public relations staff who are directing Rockefeller's campaign for the Republican nomination.

NIXON WILL DROWN IN TIDE OF PUBLIC INDIGNATION

Congressman Stuyvesant Wainwright (R-NY) has let the first "cat out of the bag" by stating on August 16 that Nixon's political future may hinge on the outcome of Khrushchev's visit. Wainwright then went on to indicate that Rockefeller's backers, if not Rockefeller himself, plan to use the Khrushchev visit and other Russian visits (such as Nixon's suggestion that Koslov, on his recent visit to Washington be invited to address the Senate) as an arguing point against Nixon.

It should be carefully noted that Rockefeller did not go to the Russian exhibit when it was in New York. Also Rockefeller steered clear of Soviet Deputies Frol Kozlov and Anastas Mikoyan during their trips to the United States.

(Rockefeller knows that there are no votes to be garnered in Soviet Russia, and so prudently (?) devoted his energies to such activities as addressing the NAACP Convention where he promised legislation in the New York State Legislature in 1960 to ban discrimination in the rental and sale of PRIVATE dwellings.)

KHRUSHCHEV'S VISIT A CRUCIAL TEST

Nixon is now completely committed to a policy of craven appeasement of Communism. As public indigation mounts with the approach of the Khrushchev visit to the U. S., feeling against Nixon will likewise rise, as he is now so thoroughly identified with this perfidious invitation to Khrushchev.

Nixon is trapped! Should public pressure demand that Eisenhower repudiate his invitation, which we prayerfully hope, Nixon still won't be able to "get off the hook" - even if the cancelling of such a visit would obviate the necessity of his being repeatedly

See NIXON THROUGH?...Page 4

LEADING CANDIDATES ENDORSE MURDERER

One of the most sickening spectacles Americans have witnessed in a long time was the sight of all the leading Presidential candidates of both major parties falling over themselves in an attempt to issue friendly statements of approval of the infamous invitation to Khrushchev.

Hardly had Vice President Nixon mouthed his endorsement of the invitation than Senate Majority Leader Johnson, the non-Southern Senator from Texas, jumped into the act and stole the spotlight with his enthusiastic statement of welcome to the Butcher of Budapest. Symington, the Simpleton, was not to be outdone, so he too quickly followed suit, as did Humphrey, the windbag from Minnesota. Senator Kennedy shocked everyone -- not by disapproving -- but for waiting two whole days before issuing his words of welcome to Nikita.

Some observers have remarked that Khrushchev intends to choose the next President of the United States when he visits this country. Certainly, it has been noted by some observers that Humphrey's and Nixon's political stock went up with the left-wing when they began courting the Soviet dictator. However, these observers have overlooked the dilemma that Khrushchev, like the American public, is faced in picking the next President. That dilemma is that there is no choice. The candidates of both major parties believe in the same things and are dedicated to the same goals. Fortunately for Khrushchev, these things and goals are almost identical with his.

U.S. Leaders, Get Off Your Knees!

By J. BRACKEN LEE

(Reprinted from THE AMERICAN STATESMAN)

What kind of people do we have running our foreign policy when we continue to sit down on equal terms with Russian leaders?

Have we become so cowardly and so weak that we no longer have the courage to stand up for what we know to be right? It seems that our government weakens its position not only with the Russian leaders but with our friends as well by such a defensive attitude.

If we believe it was wrong for the Russian leaders to murder millions of their people for political reasons then we should never cease pointing out to the world this tragic record.

If we believe it is wrong for the Russian leaders to enslave, murder, and mistreat the citizens of Poland, East Germany, Hungary, and many other countries, then why in the name of everything decent don't we tell the Communists and the whole world that we will not waste five minutes talking to them on any subject until they have freed every one of these enslaved people?

What have we said in protest or by our actions in condemnation of Communists for the rape and murder of the little nation of Tibet?

What may we ask, has our government done to obtain the release of hundreds of our American boys who have been imprisoned by the Communists. Have we so much as lifted our hands in an effort to put a stop to the shooting down of our planes and our boys by the Communists?

With this disgraceful record on the part of the Communist leaders, we not only rush to every meeting they call and spend our time defending ourselves against Communist charges but fall all over ourselves to entertain these leaders when they visit our capital. Such a display of weakness on the part of our government can have only one effect upon nations and people all over the world, and that is an eventual complete lack of respect. It is against human nature to respect an individual, group or a country that does not itself have sufficient self-respect to stand for what it knows to be right.

This newspaper would like peace in the world as much as the appeasers, but thank God that life is not so dear to us that we are willing to sell our freedom and our souls to live under the heel of a dictatorial government of any kind.

A Round-Up of Opinion

NATION'S WRATH AROUSED BY KHRUSHCHEV VISIT

Below is a round-up of the mounting nation-wide revulsion at the prospect of a visit to the United States of America by our mortal enemy - Khrushchev, master of the Communist Slave Empire:

PROF. LEV DOBRIANSKY of Georgetown University, chairman of the Ukrainian Congress Committee and AUTHOR OF THE "CAPTIVE NATIONS WEEK" RESOLUTION:

"It is patently naive to believe that a visit by the 'Hangman of the Ukraine' would add anything to what he already knows about our country...Moral principle alone should dissuade us from conferring respectability and legitimacy to a dedicated enemy, and attributes he desires in order to extend his empire,...Our group will join other Americans, churches, veterans in peaceful demonstrations of protest and righteous condemnation against the Hangman."

GOVERNOR ERNEST HOLLINGS of South Carolina:

"The invitation will weaken our stand with neutrals and captive nations. It is naive to suppose that Khrushchev or any other Russian officials would be converted to democracy by a visit to the U.S."

CONG. FRANCIS WALTER of Pennsylvania, Chairman of the House Un-American Activities Committee:

"It is unfortunate enough that the President has taken upon himself to play host to the chief tyrant of the Soviet colonial empire, and the supreme chief of the international Communist conspiracy. However, to extend to Mr. Khrushchev the most elaborate welcome this Nation is able to accord to any of its guests, is really adding insult to injury."

SENATOR OLIN JOHNSTON of South Carolina:

"One who plays with a rattlesnake is likely to be bitten; and when we play with Mr. Khrushchev, we are playing with a rattlesnake."

CONG. WALTER MOELLER of Ohio:

"Every American who looks upon Khrushchev while he is here should take a good look at those hands and in his mind's eye picture them newly stained with our blood and that of our children, which is the avowed aim of his regime and of Khrushchev himself. This is the man we are asked to greet as a representative of a friendly people.....I shudder at the thought that the streets of our Nation's Capital might be hung with the hammer and sickle as he is paraded before us."

See NATIONAL REVULSION MOUNTS..Page 4

'Essential'

(Reprinted from the CHATTANOOGA NEWS FREE PRESS)

Foreign aid is "essential" to American security and to the cause of freedom throughout the world. At least, that is what the American taxpayers are told by those trying to justify the unjustifiable expenditure of some 72 billion dollars by this country in behalf of friends, Communists and fellow travelers.

Well, just how "essential" is it? The House Foreign Affairs Subcommittee has made an interesting report:

Our military aid is going to countries with untrained soldiers who can't and don't use what we send.

Trucks hauling handouts to some countries had to be stopped because the recipents wouldn't provide gasoline and antifreeze.

Planes provided for "military" assistance ended up as personal pleasure craft used by "big-shot" officials of the handout-getting countries.

Materials designated for military construction found its way into erection of apartment houses for civilians.

A motor pool in an aid-receiving country had 44 spare tires for each truck.



(Reprinted from New York Daily News)

CLASP HANDS WITH MURDER, MR. PRESIDENT?

"Eisenhower," reports the Associated Press, "has believed that public opinion would have to be carefully prepared . . . for any Khrushchev visit, to avoid demonstrations or incidents."

President Eisenhower

Apparently the President feels that this softening-up has been accomplished by Vice President Richard M. Nixon's notable successes in Soviet Russia and Red-enslaved Poland.

In what had all the appearance of a rush act, Gen. Eisenhower yesterday called a special full-scale news conference.

He announced that Red Czar N. S. Khrushchev is to spend two or three days in Washington next month, and 10 days or so gallivanting around the United States. The President is to make a similar visit to Russia later.

Before Khrushchev's visit, Eisenhower is to confer abroad with British Prime Minister Harold Macmillan, French President Charles de Gaulle, and West German Chancellor Konrad Adenauer.

We do not congratulate Mr. Nixon on his warm endorsement of the Khrushchev U. S. visit—an idea which turns out to have originated with Eisenhower long before Nixon went to Russia.

Reception Committee

And there are a few matters we'd like to see the President attend to before Khrushchev gets here.

For one, how about a special committee to greet the Kremlin No. 1 hood at the Washington airport?

This committee should include Alger Hiss, several known American Communists and fellow travelers, and a few top-flight gangsters from dope-pushing, prostitution and jewel-theft circles.

Al Capone and Lindbergh-baby-killer Bruno Hauptmann would be ideal members of the reception committee, but unhappily they are detained in hell. We thought of nominating Jimmy Hoffa, but concluded that he is too nice a chap to mingle with Khrushchev.

There is also the matter of Khrushchev's—

CAPTIVE NATIONS

—whose enslavement Americans were officially bemoaning a couple of weeks ago.

By inviting Khrushchev to call, is the President backing down from the historic U. S. refusal to recognize the Kremlin's right to keep Albania, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, East Germany, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland and Romania in chains?

If he has not written off these tragic countries and their enslaved peoples, will the President kindly explain just what he has done in this regard?

Captives Deserted?

How does Gen. Eisenhower square his invitation to Khrushchev with the repeated Eisenhower promise not to go to any summit conference unless the Big Four foreign ministers at Geneva should get some real results concerning West Berlin?

These Eisenhower-Khrushchev palavers will be summit conferences in all but name. How can the President be sure that they won't make our allies—especially France and West Germany—suspicious of a behind-the-scenes U. S.-Soviet deal to split the world into two spheres of influence and too bad about any other nations, as Khrushchev has long wanted to do?

Maybe the President can remove all the above objections to the Khrushchev visit. But even his talents, we feel, will be unequal to the task of making anything except—

AN OBSCENE SPECTACLE

—out of the sight of a President of the United States demeaning himself and his country by clasping hands (with full news coverage) with a creature whose power rests on treachery, double-dealing, brute force, and mass murder.

(Reprinted from the NEW YORK DAILY NEWS)

We all love that flag. It gladdens the heart of the old and the young and it shelters us all. Wherever it is raised, on land or sea, at home or in our distant possessions, it always stands for liberty and humanity; and wherever it is assaulted the whole nation rises up to defend it. - William McKinley.

J. Bracken Lee to Address New Party Rally

A two-day meeting, bringing together the greatest gathering in the last decade of Conservative leaders in America, will be held in Chicago on October 23-24. The meeting is being held under the auspices of THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN, a National Citizen Action Newspaper.

The two-day affair will be held at the Morrison Hotel. Friday, October 23 -- the first day of the convention -- has been designated INDEPENDENT AMERICAN FORUM Day. On this same day the National Committee for Economic Freedom will hold its first annual convention in conjunction with the Independent American Forum. The second day is NEW PARTY Day and will be devoted to discussion and planning of New Party political activity, culminating in a NEW PARTY Rally Saturday night, October 24.

Advanced registration for the convention begins in the lobby of the Morrison Hotel on October 22 from 12 noon to 6 p.m. Registration with the Independent American Forum allows those attending to engage in all three events:

1. Sessions of the National Committee for Economic Freedom, Friday Morning.
2. Session of The Independent American Forum, Friday Afternoon.
3. New Party day sessions and the New Party Rally on Saturday.

The best of Conservative leadership in America today has been assembled for the two-day meeting. Friday morning, the National Committee for Economic Freedom -- Willis Stone, President -- will present four panel discussions on the following subjects: the farm problem, socialized medicine, Government competition with industry and fiscal responsibility. Speakers on the panel will include, among others, Tom Anderson, nationally-famous publisher of FARM AND RANCH magazine; Dr. Ernest Anthony, Jr., a prominent Texas physician; and Mr. Phillip McKenna, leading Pennsylvania manufacturer.

Friday afternoon, the Independent American Forum will sponsor a discussion of the Right-to-Work law, featuring outstanding leaders in this important field.

The banquet Friday evening, under the auspices of the Independent American Forum, will have as its Master of Ceremonies, Clayton Rand, the noted humorist and nationally-syndicated columnist. Featured speakers at the banquet will be William F. Buckley, Jr., editor of NATIONAL REVIEW magazine, and Mr. Robert Welch, Boston publisher of AMERICAN OPINION magazine. (The banquet fee will, of course, be moderate.)

All the activities scheduled for Saturday will be devoted to a discussion and planning of a new national conservative political party, which will be activated in time to participate in the 1960 election.

Dr. Revilo P. Oliver, Professor of Classics at the University of Illinois and noted Conservative speaker and author, will address the Saturday morning session on Saturday. The topic of his speech will deal with the failure of the Democrat and Republican Parties to provide the needed leadership to successfully combat the international and domestic menace of Communism. This noted educator and speaker will also discuss how the two major parties today have departed from our Nation's great principles and why America now is in need of a new political party.

The Saturday luncheon speaker will be Publisher Tom Anderson, whose FARM AND RANCH magazine has a circulation of 1,300,000 subscribers. Publisher Anderson will point out that today there is no difference between the two "old" parties.

The afternoon sessions on Saturday will feature David Molthrop, Executive Secretary of the Committee on State Sovereignty, Inc., of Washington, D.C. Mr. Molthrop has just published a handbook on political action which will be available at this meeting. The session will be devoted to a practical analysis of how to form a new party on a state and local basis.

The New Party Rally, scheduled for Saturday evening, will begin with a colorful parade of State flags and roll call of the States. Dan Smoot, famed radio news analyst and publisher of DAN SMOOT REPORT, will speak on "A New Party Can Save America."

Governor J. Bracken Lee, publisher of the AMERICAN STATESMAN, will follow Mr. Smoot with an address citing the need of vigorous leadership on the State level if a New Party is to succeed in 1960.

Kent Courtney, publisher of THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN, will be the final speaker at the rally and, after summarizing the events of the two-day convention, will issue a call of action so that a New Political Party can be a reality in 1960.

Many other outstanding speakers and leaders have been obtained

See NEW PARTY RALLY....Page 4

Hiding From The Auditors

(Reprinted from the INDIANAPOLIS STAR)

Secrecy in the Federal government is destroying the effectiveness of the agency responsible for auditing the books. Comptroller General Joseph Campbell has told a House subcommittee that before General Accounting Office auditors are permitted to see files of the Defense Department and its subordinate military departments, these files are stripped of all documents which officials do not want the auditors to see. The auditors have comparable trouble with the International Co-Operation Administration. The comptroller general indicated he is afraid the secrecy practices will spread to other departments, and could wreck the auditing function.

The situation is bad enough with just these two departments. Together they spend about 60 per cent of the total Federal budget. This means that the spending of 60 per cent of Federal tax moneys is not subject to effective audit.

Without audit, no one knows whether the money is used for the purposes for which it was appropriated, or whether reasonable value for the dollar is received. Billions could be misused, or even stolen, and neither Congress nor the public would ever know.

Why are the officials allowed to get away with it? They can do it because President Eisenhower, with his long military training in the importance of secrecy, has been sold a bill of goods about an "executive privilege" to keep secrets from Congress, and because Congress cannot approach the issue with clean hands.

Congress is secretive about its own use of public funds. Its payrolls are forbidden to prying eyes of the public and the government's auditors. The expense accounts of members on junkets all over the world are a family secret. The counterpart fund deal of foreign aid provides a slush fund into which members abroad may dip, accounting to no one. All this being the case, when the chips are down Congress is not about to get up on its hind legs and demand a thorough auditing of all the government's books.

The Independent American

Published approximately every four to six weeks by
FREE MEN SPEAK, INC.
7314 Zimpel Street • New Orleans 18, Louisana

Kent H. Courtney ..... President
Phoebe Greene Courtney .....Editor and Secty. - Treasurer

Entered as third class matter at the New Orleans Post Office

COPYRIGHT 1959 BY FREE MEN SPEAK, INC.

SUBSCRIPTION RATE: One year (or 12 issues): $3.00; two years (or 24 issues): $5.00.

OUR POLICY

This newspaper is dedicated to the defeat of Socialist and Communist influences that now pervade the thinking and the policies of our Federal government and the two major political parties. We believe that there is no difference between a New Deal Democrat and a Socialist-Internationalist Republican.

This newspaper will continue to promote all individuals and organizations who believe that a new political party based on Constitutional States Rights is the answer to the Socialism that has captured both (formerly great) parties.

We will never align ourselves with any person or group which preaches hatred. Instead we will conserve our energy for the fight against atheistic Communism, and we pledge ourselves to LOVE OF GOD AND AN INDEPENDENT U.S.A.

OUR THANKS

Because we are political and "controversial" we have no advertising income. We therefore thank all of our readers who send donations every week so that more and more sample copies can be sent to patriots throughout the country. Without this regular support, this experiment in patriotic and political journalism would not be possible.

What You Can Do To Protest Khrushchev Visit:

The swiftness with which the invitation to Khrushchev was extended -- without any prior warning or hint to the American public -- caught Americans so by surprise that many are still asking: But what can be done about it?

There are many ways open to us to peacefully protest the Khrushchev visit. Those Americans who might be inclined to think that peaceful protest will accomplish nothing should be reminded that only last month -- two weeks prior to Nixon's trip to Moscow -- Soviet Premier Khrushchev was forced to cancel his Scandanavian tour because aroused patriotic individuals and groups in Sweden, Norway and Denmark had protested to their governments and had demanded that the invitation be rescinded. Khrushchev, in a public statement, later complained that certain newspapers and "reactionary, Right-wing organizations" had created an atmosphere unfavorable for his visit.

If aroused Danes, Norwegians and Swedes can force Khrushchev to cancel his visit to their countries, then so can irate Americans. Below is a list of things that can be done to peacefully protest the Khrushchev visit to this country. We hope that you will enter into one of more of these activities.

WRITE LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

(1) Write letters to your local newspapers and condemn the Khrushchev visit.

WRITE YOUR CONGRESSMAN AND SENATORS

(2) Representative Thomas Lane of Massachusetts has introduced a resolution into Congress condemning the visit. Cong. Lane has asked Americans "to write their Senators and Representatives requesting support of the resolution that will express the opposition of Congress to this visit."

PASS RESOLUTIONS

(3) Pass resolutions in your local civic and business clubs condemning the Khrushchev invitation.

GOVERNORS SHOULD ISSUE PROCLAMATIONS

(4) Write letters to your Governor demanding that he issue a proclamation forbidding Khrushchev to visit your State.

SEND A WHITE FEATHER

(5) Send a white feather -- traditional symbol of spinelessness and cowardice -- to all those national leaders who issue "nice" statements about the Soviet Dictator and attempt to appease him. (White feathers may be obtained from your local chicken dealer.)

TOLL CHURCH BELLS

(6) Organize a campaign to have the church bells in your community begin to toll in memory of Khrushchev's victims as soon as this mass murderer arrives in this country.

HOLD CHURCH SERVICES

(7) David Lawrence, the nationally-syndicated columnist, has suggested churches throughout America hold memorial services on behalf of the peoples imprisoned in the "Captive Nations" during Khrushchev's visit to this country in order that prayers may be offered for their liberation.

FLY AMERICAN FLAG AT HALF MAST

(8) Herbert Philbrick, the famed FBI agent who led "three lives", has suggested that the "streets along the route of the Khrushchev tour be completely abandoned. Buildings, if draped at all, should be draped in black. The American flag, during the time the dictator is in this country, should be at half staff in mourn- for the victims of totalitarianism. Each and every American should immediately order his elected representatives to avoid any public meeting with the Red ruler and should be warned that to disregard the will of the people on such a grave issue could well mean the end of their political careers."

PARADE HEARSES

(9) It has been suggested that a parade of 14 hearses -- representing the 14 Captive Nations -- should precede the Khrushchev visit to major cities.

TURN YOUR BACK

(10) As Khrushchev car approaches along the parade route, those watching should turn their backs upon him as his car passes by.

GROUP ACTIVITY:

(11) THE COMMITTEE AGAINST SUMMIT ENTANGLEMENTS (headed by Mr. Robert Welch, Belmont 78, Massachusetts) is circulating petitions against the Khrushchev visit and a Summit Meeting. Thousands of persons are currently circulating these petitions in their local communities. You may obtain copies of the petition by writing Mr. Welch at the above address.

(12) On September 11, in Connie Mack Stadium (Shibe Park), Philadelphia, there will be a mass protest rally against the Khrushchev visit. The rally is being sponsored by the American Council of Christian Churches, will begin at 7:30 p.m. and will feature outstanding religious and secular leaders. If you live in the Philadelphia area, plan to attend this rally.

(13) Another rally will be staged by WE, THE PEOPLE in Chicago on September 19. The protest demonstration will be held in conjuction with the annual Constitution Day Convention at the La Salle Hotel sponsored by WE, THE PEOPLE and will feature outstanding anti-communist leaders as speakers. Plan to attend this rally if possible.

(14) THE FREE WORLD COMMITTEE will hold a meeting at its headquarters (407 S. Dearborn St., Chicago, Ill., Room 1475, at 7:30 p.m.) on September 8 to plan the organization of a protest rally to be held at some time during the Khrushchev tour of the United States.

(15) THE COMMITTEE AGAINST U.S.A. SURRENDER (P. O. Box 73, San Marino, California) is circulating petitions demanding the cancellation of the visit.

(16) THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN has available, free upon request, tape recordings of the recent RADIO EDITION OF THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN broadcast (over 50,000-watt WLS Chicago) which documents the danger of the Khrushchev visit and alerts Americans to the ways of peacefully protesting. This recording is available to individuals or groups who would like to purchase time on their local radio station to broadcast its message.

• • • • • • • •

Remember, if the Swedes can so mobilize public opinion that Khrushchev was forced to cancel his visit to their country - SO CAN LIBERTY-LOVING AMERICANS!

CARRYING THE WORLD ON OUR BACK

By Congressman Wint Smith

Again the House has passed a Foreign Aid Bill - - - which appropriates new funds of $3,156,500,000 and re-appropriates a carry-over fund of past appropriations of $4,871,448,000 - - making a total sum of $8,057,948,000.

In addition thereto - - - the Treasury has an additional sum of foreign currencies which could be used for economic aid, if needed, of $1,565,148,000 - - - or a grand total of $9,623,096,000. Many of us were sadly disappointed at the amount of this staggering sum. We had hoped in view of all the public statements of high government officials about the necessity of balancing the budget - - that a real cut would be made in this appropriation.

Thousands of citizens have written in protesting excessive government expenditures and asking for less spending. In view of all this public demand - - - a tabulation of the vote shows that the so-called wheel horses of both Republican and Democrat parties voted for this measure. Yet almost daily Republican Party leaders have been pointing their finger at the wild spending programs advocated by the so-called Liberal Northern Democrats.

The vote on this measure was 279 for and 136 against, 2 answering present and 17 not voting.

All but three of the 87 Republicans voting for passage are from Northern and Western states. In 15 Southern and Border states 57 Members voted Aye and 79 voted Nay. This shows the Southern States are more conservative than other areas. In Georgia, North and South Carolina - - - with 26 votes - - - only 3 Members voted for the bill. Texas had 8 Ayes and 14 Nays - - - while Alabama had 6 Ayes and 2 Nays.

Kansas voted 3 and 3 - - - Avery, Breeding and George voted Aye. Rees, Hargis and Smith voted Nay.

Since World War II, the United States Government has spent more than $70 Billion on Foreign Aid. It would seem that Foreign Aid has been adopted as a permanent policy of this government. This is the same program we were told - - - when it started in 1947 - - was simply a temporary measure - - - to be used to build up war torn Europe and to stop Communism. More than one-fourth of our Federal Debts results from budget deficits due to Foreign Aid Programs. As pointed out last week - - - we have spent over 3 Billion Dollars just to help reduce debts of foreign nations.

Don't forget also - - - Russia still owes us $12 Billion for aid to her during World War II.

We have a deficit of over 12 Billion Dollars this year - - - when you have a deficit you have to borrow money to pay the bills - - - that is what we are doing - - - yet with all our debt and our deficits - - - we foolishly think we can go on giving away 3 1/2 Billion Dollars. How can we - - - with only 6% of the world's population - - - continue to carry 72 other nations on our back? The answer is - - - we can't - - - and the so-called wise leaders in both the Democrat and Republican parties - - - will one day find this out.

RIGHT WING EDITORIALS WANTED

Patriots, please send us right-wing, patriotic editorials which may appear in your local newspapers. However, please do not mark on them with pencil or crayon, as all newspaper editorials are reproduced in THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN by a photographic process. Thank you.

LISTEN TO THE RADIO EDITION OF THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN

CHICAGO AND MIDWEST AREA

Every Tuesday night at 7:00 P.M. Chicago time over the 50,000-watt Prairie Farmer station, W.L.S.--890 on your radio dial - - - sponsored by the subscribers of THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN newspaper.

THE RADIO EDITION OF THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN, on Radio Station WLS Chicago is supported entirely by contributions from the subscribers of THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN newspaper, and will continue to broadcast these programs indefinitely--that is, as long as contributions make this possible.

GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA

Every Saturday 5:15 P.M. over station W.D.V.H., 5,000-watts 980 on your dial. Radio time was donated by the station so that THE RADIO EDITION OF THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN could be heard as a "public service"

KERMIT, TEXAS

Every Sunday at 12:30 P.M. over station K.E.R.B., sponsored by AMERICANS FOR CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT of Kermit, Texas.

The purpose of THE RADIO EDITION OF THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN is to give the Conservative side of the vital issues concerning this country today.

CONSERVATIVE PROGRAMS AVAILABLE FOR LOCAL SPONSORSHIP

If you and your friends, or your business firm, would like to have these programs played over your local radio station just write to Kent Courtney, P.O. Box 4223, New Orleans 18, La. for complete details.

NEW STATION ADDED

The Independent American Committee of Greenville, South Carolina has announced that it has begun weekly sponsorship of the Radio Edition of the Independent American in the Greenville area. The program may now be heard over Station W.M.U.U., 1260 KC, at 1:30 p.m. on Saturday and precedes the Dan Smoot Report. Station W.M.U.U. is operated by Bob Jones University of Greenville, South Carolina.

Something for Nothing

(Reprinted from Richmond, Va. NEWS LEADER)

On August 3, the course of the Cold War and, quite possibly, of world history were altered by President Eisenhower's surrender of something immensely valuable in return for less than nothing. The more we think about it, the more incredible becomes the prospect before the United States.

In five weeks, Soviet Premier Khrushchev will win the summit conference he has avidly sought since last fall. Despite the disclaimers of the President and those who secretly arranged the slave-master's state visit, the meeting in Washington next month will be the only meeting that counts. Any later gathering, to which the British, French and West Germans are summoned, will be simply to ratify what is agreed on in Washington.

And what has Khrushchev given in return for this summit meeting? Back in May, when the unspeakably useless Geneva conference began, wasn't there talk of a quid pro quo? Wasn't it confidently predicted that the Soviets would agree to a Berlin settlement in order to gain the propaganda opportunity at the summit? That's what the talk was, all right; that's what all the heavy thinkers and foreign affairs experts were saying. But there is no sign that their prediction has come true. Rather, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it would seem that Khrushchev has taken our quid and kept his quo.

Has the Berlin ultimatum been lifted? No, the Berlin ultimatum hasn't been lifted.

Has the Soviet Union acknowledged the war-won rights of the Western allies in the encircled German city? The Soviet Union has not.

Has the Soviet Union, in fact, made any gesture toward "easing tensions"? No, the Soviet Union has not.

Is there any credible proof that Khrushchev's professions of "peace and friendship" are any more trustworthy than the promises of Stalin? Of course not. There is only dumb, blind faith in endless talk, prompted by the craven timidity of Westerners who find war unthinkable and principle negotiable.

Without evidence of a changed heart, of good faith or of simple honesty, the man who has vowed to "bury" us and to make our grandchildren Communists has won opportunity and prestige beyond his wildest dreams. Overnight, Khrushchev has swept aside the moral strictures of the late John Foster Dulles; in a twinkling, he has shown the hollowness of the platitudes put into the mouth of America's pacifist President.

The Cold War is thawing nicely, Khrushchev must tell himself, as he contemplates his ease in the bed Lincoln slept in. And if only he continues to apply the heat, he may so shrivel the resolve of a once-proud nation that the White House will become a home away from home for his successor.

Suspects Propaganda Move

Legion Opposes Visit, Vets' Chief Wires Ike

WASHINGTON — American Legion National Comdr. Preston J. Moore has sent a telegram to President Eisenhower on behalf of 2,700,000 members of the Legion opposing the forthcoming visit of Soviet Premier Nikita S. Khrushchev.

"We believe such a visitation would result in another propaganda campaign by the Communists to discredit and weaken this country in the eyes of the world, he telegraphed.

"Many nations look upon Khrushchev's visit as further concession to Kremlin politics. Psychologically, the impact of the courtesy and entertainment proferred Khrushchev in this country would be especially harmful with our friends in Latin America, China, Japan and the countries behind the Iron Curtain.

"In the interests of continued respect for the United States in the free world and to avoid an 'appeasement' label's being slapped at the United States, we strongly oppose any invitation to Khrushchev to tour this country."

Among a people generally corrupt liberty cannot long exist.
-- Edmund Burke

NIXON COMMITTEE DROPS INFLATION FIGHT

In a report issued August 15 the special Cabinet Committee on fiscal responsibility headed by Vice President Nixon publicly shifted its emphasis from fighting inflation to promoting economic growth. The committee, which when formed a few months ago was termed an anti-inflation group, didn't even even mention the word "inflation" in its 1600-word statement.

The turnabout came as a surprise to many because by adopting the "economic growth" objective, the Cabinet committee has evidently abandoned the inflation fight and chosen to promote the objective which the Big Spenders have used to combat all talk of inflation and balanced budgets in recent months. A member of Nixon's Committee commented that the word "inflation" had been dropped from the committee's report and the phrase "rise in the general price level" substituted, because, as he said, 'many people don't know what the word 'inflation' means."

Observers in the Capital take the committee report to mean that by skillful use of semantics the Big Spenders now hope to shift public opinion away from the dangers posed by inflation. These observers note that it is absurd to say, as does Nixon's Committee, that the American people do not know what the word "inflation" means. THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, commenting on this cover-up statement by the committee, editorialized that it is true that "inflation means a great many things to different people; to one man, it means a $10,000 house can be bought for about $15,000. To the man down the street, it means no new car this year; to his neighbor, it means no college for Junior when the time comes. In short, inflation means higher prices for everything from the cradle to the casket."

THE CHATTANOOGA NEWS-FREE PRESS has said that inflation "is one of the biggest threats to our national existence. It ranks with destruction of the Constitution and the danger of Communist aggression as a force that could doom our country and our freedom.

"Americans today don't have to look into ancient history to see what inflation means. They have seen the purchasing power of the dollar drop 50 per cent in just a few years. They have seen citizens depending upon fixed incomes from insurance and pensions drained of their ability to keep up. They have seen good citizens buy Government War Bonds at $18.85 with the promise of a $25 payment on maturity - only to have the $25 equal just about $12.50 in purchasing power as compared with the value of the dollar at the time the bonds were purchased."

How can Nixon's Committee have the audacity to declare that the American people don't know what "inflation" means? Americans know inflation is spending $2 to do a job $1 would do before World War II. Inflation is the reason a farmer, who paid $1000 for a piece of equipment several years ago (and even though he depreciated it properly over the years) must now pay $2000 to buy a new one to do just about the same kind of work as the old one did for him.

Inflation is the reason fixed incomes from insurance policies, government bonds, pension plans, and social security buy less at the store than they did five, ten and fifteen years ago.

Inflation is the reason a person who earned $5000 in 1946 would have to darn $7,343 today (50% more) simply to be as well off as he was before.

Inflation is the condition that keeps some boys and girls from going to college because the $4000 parents had saved several years ago to pay the costs has now been inflated to $8000 on today's standards.

Inflation is the money disease which affects everyone -- not just a few, but in particular the 106,000,000 owners of life insurance, the 50,000,000 savings account depositors, the 20,000,000 savings and loan subscribers, the 40,000,000 U.S. bond holders, the 14,000,000 retired folks with private pensions, and the millions of employees not covered under cost-of-living adjustments.

It would appear that the American people know far more about the word "inflation" than does Mr. Nixon and his egghead Liberal advisors!

SEND NAMES FOR OUR MAILING LIST

PLEASE SEND ONLY NAMES OF PERSONS WHO YOU THINK WOULD BE INTERESTED IN READING THIS NEWSPAPER. WE SHALL BE HAPPY TO SEND THEM A SAMPLE COPY

DON'T TRUST THE REDS

By Dan Smoot

(Excerpts from THE DAN SMOOT REPORT)

AMERICAN SOVIET RELATIONS

The problem of American - Soviet relations underlies every other national problem we have. Every crisis-whether it hinges on a domestic issue like inflation and taxation, or on an international situation developing in Berlin or Formosa - rests on the question of what to do about American-Soviet relations.

The official record shows that American recognition saved the Soviets from collapse in 1933 - and that American policy has saved the Soviets in every major crisis since, helping to build them into an evil colossus that threatens to destroy us.

Prior to Roosevelt, the American government considered the Soviets as gangsters and would not recognize them as a government. When Roosevelt reversed this policy in November, 1933, the Soviets promised to stop all efforts to foment trouble in the United States. But they intensified the efforts. We have done nothing about their broken promises; but whenever they need help, they get it from us, despite the fact that our government crushes us with taxes under the pretext that it is fighting communism.

Communist Russia remained weak and despised until Roosevelt recognized it in 1933. Recognition saved the Soviets from attack by Japan, and ultimately caused war between Japan and America. Roosevelt's deal at Yalta, enforced with zeal by Eisenhower, delivered Central Europe to communist tyrants and returned 5 million communist refugees to slavery and death in the Soviet Union. America's post-war foreign aid programs have financed socialism all over the earth and dissipated American strength. Since Korea, the Eisenhower administration has actually helped the Soviets suppress dangerous rebellions. Eisenhower sent marines to protect Lebanon in 1958, withdrew them after a communist was installed as head of the government.

A GLIMPSE AT THE AWFUL RECORD

The way to start disintegration of communist power is to treat communist leaders as international gangsters. The American people would demand such a policy, if they would read the record.

One sampling of the record is in MAJOR JORDAN'S DIARIES: an account of how we gave the Russians duplicates of U. S. Treasury plates so that they could print money which American taxpayers had to redeem. Among other things, the Russians paid their armies a two-year bonus with our money.

The cost to us: at least 250 million dollars.

This deal was arranged by a Soviet spy, Harry Dexter White, in the Treasury Department. For his service to the Soviets, White was promoted in the U. S. government.

• • • • • •

CULTURAL EXCHANGES

When Stalin died in 1953, new Kremlin bosses revived "peaceful coexistence" to buy time for themselves - and sought respectability by dramatic shows of friendliness with western leaders. The summit meeting at Geneva in 1955 served this purpose, and initiated "cultural exchanges" between the Soviet Union and America. We temporarily suspended cultural exchanges in late 1956 because of communist atrocities in Hungary. But Khrushchev had announced that the "exchanges" were a prime means of weakening America and strengthening world socialism.

Our government obligingly reopened negotiations for a formal cultural-exchange agreement with the Soviets. One was signed in January, 1959. For 12 years, our government has crushed us with taxes to "resist communism" all over the world. Now our government uses our money, and the force and prestige of officialdom, to compel us to accept and respect communist propaganda.

• • • • • •

SOVIET TREATY RECORD

In 1953, the desperate Soviets easily found a way through their crisis - by playing upon the gullibility, if not the treason, of western leaders.

One of the surest ways to break the back of anti-communist movements throughout the world and to forestall incipient revolt inside the Soviet Union was to get western leaders - particularly the President of the United States - to make a dramatic public show of friendly cooperation with the new Kremlin rulers.

As Nikolai E. Khokhlov, former Soviet intelligence officer, pointed out:

"That was why Mr. Khrushchev was so anxious to shake hands with Mr. Dwight Eisenhower: to show the people in his own country that even the President of the United States approves of the Soviet system."

Bringing about a meeting between President Eisenhower and the new Kremlin rulers became a number one objective of communists all over the world.

In America, the communist party hammered this idea insistently in the DAILY WORKER and in all of its other official news organs. The communist camp-followers in the liberal press picked up the idea and advanced it.

In May, 1954 - Senator William E. Jenner (then Chairman of the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee) made two important moves to check our obvious drift toward the Soviet trap of peaceful coexistence.

May 13, 1954, on behalf of himself and the late Pat McCarran, Democratic Senator from Nevada, Jenner laid before the Senate a formal resolution asking the U. S. government to break off diplomatic relations with communist countries.

Jenner also obtained authorization for his sub-committee to make a study of the Soviet treaty record from 1917 to date.

This committee assignment was brilliantly carried on by Jenner's successor, James O. Eastland of Mississippi. When the staff study was finished, Senator Eastland released it for publication with a foreword which says, in part:

"The staff studied nearly a thousand treaties and agreements ... ..which the Soviets have entered into ....The staff found that in the 38 short years since the Soviet Union came into existence, its Government had broken its word to virtually every country to which it ever gave a signed promise.

"It signed treaties of nonaggression with neighboring states and then absorbed those states. It signed promises to refrain from revolutionary activity inside the countries with which it sought 'friendship,' and then cynically broke those promises. It was violating the first agreement it ever signed with the United States at the very moment the Soviet envoy, Litvinov, was putting his signature to that agreement, and it is still violating the same agreement in 1955. It broke the promises it made to the western nation during previous meetings 'at the summit' in Teheran and Yalta...

"I seriously doubt that if during the whole history of civilization any great nation has ever made as perfidious a record as this in so short a time."

(Editor's note: The above article is excerpted from THE DAN SMOOT REPORT of August 10, 1959, which REPORT quotes from eight previous DAN SMOOT REPORTS dealing with the subject of American-Soviet Relations. If you wish additional copies of any or all of these previous eight REPORTS, then contact DAN SMOOT, P. O. Box 9611, Lakewood Station, Dallas 14, Texas. Because reprints of these back issues of THE DAN SMOOT REPORT are in short supply, we would suggest that in ordering them, you request an assortment of those REPORTS that he still has on hand regarding the subject of American-Soviet Relations. The price is 25¢ for one copy, $1.00 for 6 copies.)

(Editor's note: DAN SMOOT, author of the above article will be a speaker at the NEW PARTY RALLY, sponsored by THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN, at the Morrison Hotel, Chicago, October 24, 1959).

The hottest places in hell are reserved for those who, in a period of moral crisis, maintain their neutrality.
--Dante

FEDERAL AID FALLACY

(Reprinted from Chattanooga NEWS FREE PRESS)

Take a "poll" of your friends and see if you can find anybody who opposes doing away with slums, opposes having good airports, opposes provision of good school lunches, opposes good housing, opposes having good sewers and sanitation, or who favors floods or favors diseases.

There is no question about the outcome of your poll. Everybody will be against the "bad" things and for the "good" things. This natural inclination is unfortunately being used to entrap the American people into centralization of government in Washington and imposition of unnecessarily high taxes.

It's handled this way: Bills for Federal aid to airports or sewer construction or school lunches or the fight against some disease are proposed. Then those who favor socialization and centralized government generally cast any critics of their plans as being "against" the cause involved.

The real question is not whether the method of seeking a desirable end is sound. And quite often, Federal aid is not the best means.

Federal aid has become popular because local people don't like to take responsibility for financing their own projects through local taxes. So they go to Washington. Of course, the Federal Government has no great supply of "free" money. It must tax the people to get what it spends, or go further into debt, mortgaging the future. There is a false illusion that Federal aid is "free." And Congress often likes to vote for spending projects because its members can then go back home and campaign on "all that has been done for the people."

One statistician has reported that for every $1 in Federal aid that gets back to a local community, the cost in taxes is $3--two-thirds of the amount going for "administrative costs" and only one-third for aid. That means the people are not getting their money's worth when they are taxed for Federal aid. Furthermore, much Federal aid is on a "matching" basis, requiring local communities to raise taxes to get money to match a small fraction of their own money that the Federal Government is willing to give back to them. And with Federal aid goes Federal control.

When airports are desirable; there is no reason cities can't build them without depending upon the Federal Government. The same is true of sewers, public buildings and the provision of school lunches.

Where would the cities get all the money? If nationwide pressure were placed by the people on Congress to cut off many of its aid programs and leave the money on the local level from which it is being siphoned by Federal taxation, there would be more money to buy real progress, less money wasted on "administrative costs" and control retained by the local people who will benefit from the spending and finance it.

TRICKERY

By Congressman H. R. Gross of Iowa

When the House of Representatives passed an appropriation of $3.1 billion for foreign aid, some $700 million less than President Eisenhower requested, the word immediately came from the Senate that it would be in no hurry to take up the measure.

The strategy is clear. There are those in the Senate who would like to put back all or a substantial part of the cuts made by the House. There is no better way to put on the pressure to this end than to send the bill to conference during the closing days of this session. Then, with the members wanting to go home or take off on junkets all over the world, the hue and cry will be raised to reach a fast compromise.

Rather than capitulate to the free-wheeling spenders in the Senate, I am prepared to stay in Washington until the snow flies. For all too many years has the house capitulated and compromised on this issue. The cuts made by the House represented too little economy.

If these reductions are now to be surrendered even in part to the expediency of Congressional adjournment it will be a shameful proceeding and a betrayal of the American people.

Does it make sense to you that the United States, with a public debt of $275 billion dollars--$39 billion more than the COMBINED public debt of all the other nations of the world --is currently sending foreign aid to 73 foreign countries?

FLOOD OF MAIL HITS INVITATION TO KHRUSHCHEV

(Reprinted from THE TABLET)

By ANTHONY M. LENTI

WASHINGTON—Let no one think the invitation to Premier Khrushchev is passing with a tremendous voice of approval. Rather an avalanche of indignation is being showered upon the Executive and State Departments. Ever since the shocking news was released, telegrams, letters, resolutions and vocal protests have been made on a huge scale.

Day after day thousands of letters—most of them from individuals, others from communications and petitions with many signatures—have been received here. The letters take three forms: first, outright condemnation; second, a demand for revocation of the invitation, and third, a warning that the Government beware of the Communist aim in the visit and act in a way to prevent it being used as a vast propaganda stunt and method of forging more firmly the chains binding the Captive Nations.

Significant Silence

As of this week the letters continue unabated. They are the means of putting officials on the defensive. Only double talk and significant silence hide the national discontent manifested at Capitol Hill.

How do the politicians react? Republicans compress their lips —except for a few men like Senator Styles Bridges of New Hampshire and Representative August Johansen of Michigan whose bitter opposition is unmuffled.

The classic statement of Rep. Johansen is spread around government office buildings: "The Congress three weeks ago adopted a resolution expressing its desire for the freedom of the captive nations and now the executive branch extends the honor of a funeral invitation to the captor."

On the Democratic side Senator Thomas Dodd of Connecticut was vocal in his denunciation of the invitation to the Soviet Premier—"it is a national disgrace"

The Federal Income Tax CAN Be Repealed!

By Tom Anderson

(Reprinted from FARM & RANCH Magazine)

"Why should I worry about taxes -- I don't pay any income tax," some farmers say. According to Tax Foundation, an average worker who makes about $4,000 a year pays about $1,200 of that in Federal, state, and local taxes, most of which are hidden.

Farmers have long found it hard to understand the vast price difference between the raw materials they sell and the finished products they buy. One big reason is taxes, for the corporations really don't pay their taxes -- they tack them on the price of the product and YOU pay them. Corporations are tax COLLECTORS for government.

ONE-THIRD OF EVERYTHING

Government now costs the average person more than he spends on food, clothing, medical care, and the church combined. Local, state, and national governments consume almost one-third of every product and service of our entire population. In 1917 government took $7.92 per person from us. Forty years later, it took $446.86 per person. Much of that was hidden from us--taken in unseen nibbles with almost our every purchase.

You can't go anywhere, to the bathtub, cross country, or to town without paying a tax. The government even gets its "take" from your shoe leather. Every movie, radio, telephone, shirt, egg and car (40% of its price) has its built-in taxes. There are 151 taxes on a loaf of bread! Taxes are collected on the sheets you were placed on at birth and the coffin you'll be placed in at death. The bureaucrats will keep their hands in our pockets as long as we'll let them. Government will spend all the money it can get--and then some. Changing politicians is not enough. Changing undertakers can't stop death. We must change the tax system itself by changing the law.

REPEAL THE INCOME TAX

The 16th Amendment says Congress can tax us without limit (the politicians have taken that seriously). Let's repeal the 16th Amendment! Before it was passed, in 1913, the Federal Government could not levy a direct tax on any earnings, savings, or inheritances of the citizens of the sovereign states.

The soak-the-rich tax program has become soak-everybody, because the rich have got only enough income to pay for a small part of the total cost of government. Eighty-five percent of all Federal income from personal and direct taxes is paid by people who make under $6,000 a year. Since the Federal Government is taking up to 91% of their incomes, many people spend their thoughts and energies trying to avoid taxes instead of trying to make more money. Thus the Government is in the position of the short-sighted sheepman who, when wool prices were high, decided to skin instead of shear.

Representative Utt of California points out that Congress is not going to repeal socialistic programs one at a time. No group will leave the trough before the others. Running the pigs away from the trough one at a time won't save slop. The way to save slop is to empty the trough. Kill the Federal Income Tax. If the money's not there, the pigs can't get it. Crazy? On the contrary, it's practical and workable.

Congressman Utt proposes a bill which would kill the Federal Income Tax and also take the Government out of all business not specifically authorized by the Constitution. Thus the Government would no longer need the income tax. Here's why: The U.S. Government is operating more than 700 huge Federal corporations. Those Federal corporations have 40% of the land area and 20% of the industrial capacity of the U.S. They are unconstitutional tax-EATERS. By selling them to tax-PAYING private companies the Government could get enough tax money from them to offset what it would lose from killing all individual income taxes. The unconstitutional Federal lands and businesses in which the Government has put $200 billions of our money could be sold back to the American people for say, $50 billion, to be conservative. That'd mean $50 billion debt reduction. And it'd mean $2-1/2 billion yearly savings on interest payments and amortization on that $50 billion. Those government businesses, even though tax-free, manage to lose more than $10 billion a year.

HOW TO REDUCE PRICES, HALT INFLATION

Then, it is estimated about $4 billion a year could be saved by firing the one million Federal workers whose services are for those Government corporations but whose salaries are charged to the general Government payroll. Add to that the cost of buildings, typewriters (there are 3-1/2 Government typewriters for every Government typist), and multitudinous goods and services provided for these million employees and you save $12 billion more. That makes $28-1/2 billion saved by getting the Government out of its rent-free, cost-free, interest-free, tax-free competition with the rest of us.

Utt's proposed 23rd Amendment (Bill H.J. 23) would also kill most Foreign Aid, saving about $5 billion. That's $33-1/2 billion saved--more than the total Federal Government tax-take from individuals.

By killing the Personal Income Tax, we would sound the death knell of Federal Aid, the most insidious con game ever foisted on the American people. We'd cut the mushrooming Federal Bureaucracy down to a size we might be able to control, comprehend, and afford. This is no proposal to give advantage to vested interests. It is a proposal which can go far toward saving our Republic from Socialism, bankruptcy, and defeat.

The States would regain, reassume and reassert their sovereign taxing powers and responsibilities. There would develop a healthy competition between states. If personal or corporate taxes in Illinois became oppressive, the person or business could move to Georgia, or vice-versa. No State could afford to be too far out of line.

When, and only when, the Government is cut down to its proper size, will taxes and prices be cut. The vast Federal Bureaucracy is so powerful and complex that it is beyond the control and understanding of the people. A Government that is big enough to give us all we want is big enough to take all we've got!

(Editor's note: TOM ANDERSON, publisher of FARM AND RANCH Magazine, and author of the above article will be a speaker on NEW PARTY DAY, sponsored by THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN, at the Morrison Hotel, Chicago, October 24, 1959).

Precinct Pete

(Reprinted from THE AMERICAN STATESMAN)

America's foreign policy is an open book -- a check book!

• • • •

A doctor reported the other day that dollar bills carry germs. How stupid....even a germ couldn't live on a dollar these days.

• • • •

Socialism breeds "gimme" pigs.

• • • •

Certainly Russia is for world peace -- the whole piece.

• • • •

It isn't our economy I'm worried about; it's the lack of it that concerns me.

• • • •

Remember back to the old days when American leaders stood up to the issues -- instead of dodging them?

Men fight for freedom and then accumulate laws to rob themselves of it.

• •

There is getting to be too much overhead and too much underhand in government.

• • •

Wouldn't it be wonderful if the government spent as much time worrying about where the money is going as they do about collecting it?

• • • •

If a Congressman doesn't have any of his wife's relatives on the payroll it means only one thing -- he's a bachelor!

• • • •

The difference between a politician and a statesman is simply this: A politician takes your money and throws it around at home -- a statesman throws it all around the world.

"If your government is big enough to give you everything you want, it is big enough to take away everything you have."

-- George Peck

I. D. A. COMES OF AGE

IDA, the newest alphabetical addition to the United Nation's family of financial leeches, is about to come of age. IDA, of course, is only the short name for International Development Association -- a new agency designed to compete with the World Bank and our Foreign Aid program in giving away American tax dollars to support foreign governments.

Robert Anderson, Secretary of the Treasury, issued a report on August 15 in which he announced the proposal of creating this new international billion-dollar organization to lend money to underdeveloped countries on easier terms than are now available. IDA would be an affiliate of the World Bank and would lend money at a resonable rate of interest on long terms which could be repaid in full or in part in local currencies. (World Bank loans normally must be repaid in dollars, the currency most commonly loaned.)

IDA's function, however, would be slightly different from that of the World Bank. Its loans would supplement those of the World Bank.

IDA, though only now coming of age, has been the subject of much discussion in the past. The background and analysis of this newly-found "giveaway" organization was thoroughly delved into by the June 19 issue of U.S.A. (P. O. Box 134, Lenox Hill Station, New York 21, N.Y.). It was noted then that the main backers and promoters of IDA have been Harland Cleveland, a dean at Syracuse University, and Commander Sir Robert Jackson, chairman of the new republic of Ghana's development commission.

IDA's initial budget with which to begin operations will be a whopping $25 billion. It has not been revealed as yet what the U.S. contribution to this new international boondoggle will be but it has been the practice in other United Nations organizations to hit Uncle Sam for approximately two-thirds of the cost.

IDA is in addition to and is not to be confused with SUNFED, another U. N. organization designed to do the same functions as IDA but operating on a slimmer budget of only $250 million. SUNFED stands for Special United Nations Fund for Economic Development. The U.S. will kick in about $35 billion to this organization, over the next ten years, with the other 87 nations in the world putting up a total of $20 billion.

IDA is not the only new international boondoggle which has been created to sap American tax dollars and supplement our Foreign Aid program. Early in August Congress approved the creation of the Inter-American Bank with an additional liability of nearly one-half billion dollars. Congressman H. R. Gross of Iowa charged that "this is a duplication of the Development Loan Fund provided under the Foreign Aid bill wherein hundrds of millions of dollars have been handed out to foreign countries in loans so 'soft' that if ever paid it will be in the currency of the country, and by agreement that that currency cannot be taken out and expended elsewhere."

It would appear that the lesson to learn from the three cases of IDA, SUNFED and IAB is that the "give-away boys" have hit upon a new strategy, designed to bolster and maintain foreign governments with American tax dollars. This new plan calls for the creation of new international financial agencies into which American capital and tax dollars could be shifted without opposition from the American public. Because of the technical nature and complexity of these international agencies, the "give-away boys" believe that the American taxpayer will never become sufficently aroused to demonstrate his anger against them as he has against the regular Foreign Aid program.

Who's Worse Off?

(Reprinted from the WALL STREET JOURNAL)

Representative Celler, always one of the more outspoken Democrats, has unburdened himself on the party's Presidential hopefuls in this wise:

"There's Senator Douglas; he's too old. Senator Kennedy; he's too young. Governor Meyner; he's too minor. Senator Humphrey; he's too talkative. Senator Johnson; he's too South. Governor Williams; he's too soapy. Stevenson; he's too often. Senator Symington—he's my man."

There are other Democrats, no doubt, who will say Senator Symington is too close to the cellar, since the polls show he does not seem to have impressed the voters much one way or another.

But there aren't many Democratic leaders who will challenge the point of this pithy paragraph, which is that at the moment all the party's prospects are too much something.

We hope the Democrats aren't too disheartened. For it's still a question who's worse off, the Democratic party in search of a candidate or the Republican candidates in search of a party.

Nix On Nixon!

THIS IS THE RECORD

(The following list represents *only a portion* of the diplomatic agreements reached, and whose terms were violated, by the Communist Government of the USSR.*)

Soviet Agreement	*Date*	*Result*
To recognize independence of Finland	Dec. 31, 1917	VIOLATED
To renounce sovereignty over Lithuania and Latvia	Aug. 27, 1918	VIOLATED
To recognize self-determination of Ukraine	Dec. 28, 1920	VIOLATED
To end hostilities with Poland	Mar. 18, 1921	VIOLATED
To conclude a friendship agreement with Czechoslovakia	June 5, 1922	VIOLATED
To renounce all privileges, concessions and rights of extra-territoriality in China	May 31, 1924	VIOLATED
To refrain from any propaganda, subversion or espionage in US	Nov. 16, 1933	VIOLATED
To guarantee Rumanian sovereignty	June 9, 1934	VIOLATED
To pledge adherence to tenets of the Atlantic Charter	Sept. 24, 1941	VIOLATED
To honor principles of the UN Declaration	Jan. 1, 1942	VIOLATED
To return to US all undestroyed lend-lease weapons	June 11, 1942	VIOLATED
To establish a free and independent Austria	Oct. 19, 1943	VIOLATED
To create a democratic and unified Korea	Nov. 22, 1943	VIOLATED
To honor democratic processes in China and Eastern Europe (Yalta Conference)	Feb. 4, 1943	VIOLATED
To abide by UN Charter	June 26, 1945	VIOLATED
To unify Germany and to provide for democratic procedures in Eastern Europe (Potsdam Conference)	July 17, 1945	VIOLATED
To support Nationalist China	Dec. 16, 1945	VIOLATED
To return all German prisoners-of-war within two years	Mar. 10, 1947	VIOLATED
To end Berlin Blockade	May 4, 1949	VIOLATED

(Reprinted from HUMAN EVENTS Newsletter)

HELP SPREAD THE WORD

Every month, in order to combat the millions of words spewed out by the left-wing press, THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN distributes thousands of free sample copies.

These sample copies are paid for by the contributions sent in by our supporters, who wish in this way, to fight against the Socialists who have infiltrated every part of our government.

If you believe in the work we are doing, and if you wish to help us spread the message of Americanism contained in THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN, then please contribute to our "Distribution Fund" to help finance these free sample copies.

Just make your check out to The Independent American, 7314 Zimpel St., New Orleans, La.

How to Not-Earn a Million

(Reprinted from THE RICHMOND (Va.) NEWS LEADER)

A Scripps-Howard staff writer out in Kansas City has provided for the Pittsburgh Press one of the most remarkable accounts to come along yet of the nation's farm policy in action.

Consider the Garvey family of Wichita. Ten years ago Ray H. Garvey was acclaimed the world's largest grower of wheat. When he harvested nearly a million bushels in 1947, the Kansas City Star hailed him for "a world's record for private enterprise."

And how is Mr. Garvey faring these days?

Handsomely, thank you.

In the past four years he and his family have received price support loans of $791,488 on 358,818 bushels of wheat grown on their farms. All but 17,213 bushels of this were forfeited to the government.

They also have received $405,647 in soil bank payments for not growing more wheat than they did.

And in a directly related undertaking, the Garvey interests were paid $14,787,000 last year in fees for storing surplus grain for the Commodity Credit Corporation. Their storage facilities make them the largest storers in the CCC program.

For a producer of wheat to get paid by the taxpayers for growing it, not growing it, and storing it, is to play about every angle that can be played. It's nice not-work if you can get it, and you can get it if you try.

Bar Assn. Opposes Nixon — Humphrey World Court Bill

(Reprinted from THE TABLET)

If bar associations in other states would follow the example of the Texas Bar Assn., the American Bar Assn. might reverse the surprising action of the national group's governing board in backing Senator Hubert Humphrey's world court resolution. The Humphrey resolution, now before the Senate, would eliminate the safeguard which keeps the world court from interfering in the domestic affairs of the United States.

When the United States in 1945 entered into an agreement to participate in the world court, an affiliate of the United Nations, the Senate was asked to ratify the agreement. The document provided that the court could not interfere in the domestic affairs of a member nation, but the then Senator Tom Connally of Texas was shrewd enough to see a loophole. The wording of the agreement would have permitted the world court to decide what constituted domestic affairs. So Senator Connally had inserted the phrase "as determined by the United States." It is this phrase Humphrey wants to knock out.

The Texas Bar Assn. recently at its annual convention in Dallas, adopted a resolution opposing the Humphrey proposal on the grounds it would seriously impair the sovereignty of the United States and effectively vest the power to amend the Constitution of the United States in a foreign tribunal. The United States has only one member on the 15-member court.

If Humphrey and others who are backing his move do not want the world court to stick its nose into the purely domestic affairs of the United States, why are they trying to get the safeguard repealed? What harm does it do otherwise?

Senator Connally showed wisdom in inserting the safeguard. The wisdom is as valid now as it was then.

(Editor's note: GOP Presidential candidate Nixon, it should be remembered, has enthusiastically joined Socialist Senator Humphrey in pushing the Humphrey amendment which if passed, would allow Communist Russia, as a member of the United Nations, to rule on domestic law in the United States.)

"Wild-eyed socialists, ambitious intellectuals, powerseeking demagogues and hidden communists are pushing America to the verge of an inflationary catastrophe."

-- Wm. E. Jenner

REMEMBER PEARL HARBOR !

(Reprinted from Chattanooga NEWS-FREE PRESS)

Every move of American foreign policy should be measured against two standards: morality and the good of the United States.

The United States executed Julius and Ethel Rosenberg (and properly so) because they were spies for the Communist gang that Khrushchev now heads.

The United States imprisoned (but not for long enough) Alger Hiss as a result of his treason in aiding the international Russian conspiracy.

And yet now our President will roll out the welcome mat for the most bestial archcriminal of our times, the man who heads the conspiracy which has caused the murder of literally millions, the enslavement of tens of millions of others, the destruction of entire nations.

A major danger lies in the fact that personal exchanges between our President and Khrushchev, with Khrushchev walking the streets of America, may tend to cause the American people to lower their guard: as long as our leaders can visit on friendly terms, some might feel, the threat of Communist aggression and subversion surely is not so pressing. The people of Troy took within their city the great wooden horse left by the Greeks and thought: Surely the danger has passed. And they went to sleep. From within the great horse crept the band of Greek soldiers that doomed sleeping Troy to destruction.

It is argued that it is essential to "maintain communication" and, as Mr. Nixon put it, "to exchange ideas." It is contended with fallacious reasoning that as long as we are talking we will not be fighting. Only those short of memory have forgotten that on the eve of Pearl Harbor Japan's Nomura and Kurusu were engaged in "peace talks" in Washington.

EXTRA COPIES

THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN Newspaper

Available!

ORDER TODAY bulk copies of THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN and distribute to your friends, business acquaintances, club members, and employees, etc.

GOING TO A MEETING SOON? Order your extra copies of THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN to distribute there.

10 Copies	$1.00
25 Copies	$2.00
50 Copies	$4.00
100 Copies	$8.00
Over 100 Copies	$8.00 per 100

Order Today

These will be shipped to you IN BULK, POSTAGE PREPAID, ready for you to address, mail or distribute.

Please make your check payable to:

THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN

7314 Zimpel Street

New Orleans 18, Louisana

REVULSION MOUNTS ..From Pg. 1

EUGENE CASTLE, author of BILLIONS, BLUNDERS AND BALONEY and THE GREAT GIVEAWAY:

"Khrushchev wins inside the U.S.A. with the direct and personal assistance of President Eisenhower and Vice President Nixon and the full facilities of the U.S. Information Agency....Both the President and the Vice President personally plead for closer relations with those who are determined to destroy us. If this had occured in a previous era, the very people who are now hoodwinking American citizens would have shouted "traitor" and "treason"!"

SENATOR ROBERT BYRD of West Virginia:

"I am opposed to a visit by Khrushchev to the United States. I fear the effect which his visit will have on the people of captive countries such as Poland, Hungary, etc., and the effect it will have upon pro-Western countries in Asia....As for me, I would rather resign from the United States Senate and wipe the dust of Washington off my shoes forever, than to sit in Congress assembled to listen to this man who deals in duplicity, treachery, perfidy, and murder."

CONG. AUGUST JOHANSEN of Michigan:

"Of the Khrushchev visit, I can only comment that to me it reflects incredible confusion, illogic and contradiction so far as national policy is concerned.....The Congress adopted a Captive Nations Resolution and the Executive Branch extends the honor of a formal invitation to the captor."

CONG. WILLIAM JENNINGS BRYAN DORN of South Carolina declared that he was "unalterably opposed" to inviting "the worst of all Communists" to visit the United States.

CAPTAIN EUGENE GUILD, DIRECTOR, FIGHTING HOME FOLKS OF FIGHTING MEN:

"If Mr. Eisenhower thinks he can bring the murderer and captor of our missing sons to America practically before the bodies of some of them have grown cold, without protest and demonstration by their mothers, he is badly mistaken."

CONG. WINT SMITH of Kansas:

I know of "all the stock answers that are given us to justify why we had to invite Khrushchev -- but certainly America has traveled a long way down a dark and dismal road -- when we have to invite this Bloody Butcher to a visit. It is both morally and politically wrong. Nothing but harm can come from this visit."

CHARLOTTE STARR referring to Khrushchev visit:

"If this doesn't give me a stroke, I'll live to be buried by Khrushchev."

HERBERT PHILBRICK, FAMED FBI AGENT WHO LED "THREE LIVES":

"I am in full accord with those who condemn the Khrushchev invitation to this country as a national disgrace...Publicity-seeking opportunists should be warned in advance that any attempt to use the Khrushchev visit will be regarded as an act of profane collaboration."

CONG. THOMAS LANE of Massachusetts:

"The manner in which this invitation was secretly maneuvered, reveals the Administration's fear that the American people would not respond kindly to it.....The Administration has its fingers crossed, hoping that we will be stunned into mute acceptance of this shameful adventure in expediency. With its propaganda resources, it is attempting to prove that black is white, but in doing so it seriously underestimates the character and the independent thinking of the American people."

NEW HAMPSHIRE ATTORNEY GENERAL WYMAN:

"Those who claim to represent us, whether by election or appointment who urge a policy of being 'nice' to Communists, give distressing evidence of rot of the Nation's principles."

THE CLEVELAND NEWS:

"This is a political and propaganda triumph for the Soviet Premier that goes beyond anything he ever achieved, or perhaps, ever expected to achieve. About all that is left is for him to tell the President of the United States to his face in the White House that his (Eisenhower's) grandchildren will be living under socialism. ...Let us not invite Nikita Khrushchev to be a guest of Cleveland."

THE TABLET NEWSPAPER:

The invitation "will appear to many as a colossal swallowing of principle, a betrayal of our civilization, a misfortune destructive of the loyalty we owe to American youths who have perished at the hands of Soviet treachery, and an insult to the millions of people enslaved by that dictatorship."

CARDINAL CUSHING of Boston:

"Whoever thinks that we can influence Khrushchev merely by showing him how our democracy functions and how well off we are, has no conception of the passionate, hate-filled contempt for our way of living which possesses Khrushchev and company. We cannot change him. Day and night, in thought and in deed, he is working together with his associates for the world-wide victory of Communism....I recall Mikoyan's visit. It was pitiable to see with what supreme cleverness he had use of the whole gamut of emotions -- idealism, love of peace, sentiment, simple-mindedness, vanity, business acumen, mammon. If we were taken in by him, what can we expect from Khrushchev's visit?

"Ideologically disarmed, we lay open our country, through an invitation to Khrushchev, to the planned offensive of an ideologic elite force. That is like opening our frontiers to the enemy in a military war."

PRESIDENT CLYDE J. KENNEDY OF THE AMERICAN COUNCIL OF CHURCHES:

"It is morally wrong to extend an invitation to the bloody butcher of Hungary, who has announced his intention to bury us. Nothing can come to our Nations by welcoming and honoring this international (John) Dillinger."

President CARL MC INTYRE of THE INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL OF CHRISTIAN CHURCHES:

"The President's invitation deserves the strongest opposition from Christian people in our land. Before God, it is morally wrong for this nation to receive a bloody-handed tyrant who intends to bury us.

"We cannot expect Almighty God to help us in our struggle for survival when we court the chief spokesman of the devil who repudiates God."

Reds Urge More Foreign Aid

It was reported last week in the Congressional Record that Russia is now sending its agents around the world to the undeveloped countries - - advocating that these countries make more and more demands on the United States for more and more foreign aid. These Russian agents also are trying to promote loans to build factories in these undeveloped countries so that the Communists can eventually take over these factories. - - - In other words the Communists are promoting these things so that they won't have to build up these factories when they take over.

.....Congressmen Wint Smith of Kansas

ATTENTION!

CIVIC CLUBS, NEW PARTIES
PATRIOTIC ORGANIZATIONS – STATES RIGHTERS
POLITICAL CLUBS
CITIZENS COUNCILS
UP TO 100 FREE COPIES
OF THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN
AVAILABLE TO YOU WRITE US YOUR NEEDS

Calif. American Legion Opposes Urban Renewal

The American Legion, Department of California, assembled at its annual state convention, recently passed the following resolution condemning the fraudulent actions currently being carried out in thousands of communities across the United States under the guise of Urban Renewal and "slum clearance."

The Legion boasts a national membership of 2,700,000. If every American Legion post, every Legion district and every state department were to pass resolutions similar to that appearing below, as passed by the Department of California, tremendous pressure could be brought to bear to correct the evils being committed at the present time under the name of "Urban Renewal". Other organizations -- business and civic clubs -- are also urged to pass resolutions condemning the illegal practices of Urban Renewal.

Below is the American Legion resolution:

AMERICAN LEGION, DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA

RESOLUTION 59-100, OPPOSING THE SEIZING OF PRIVATE PROPERTY BY PUBLIC AGENCIES UNDER THE COLOR OF EMINENT DOMAIN FOR RESALE TO PRIVATE PERSONS

WHEREAS within the past 2 years the homes of many hundreds of our California citizens have been seized under the power of eminent domain and then resold at a loss to the taxpayer to private interests at their great financial gain; and

WHEREAS allowing the power of eminent domain to be used for the purpose of taking property from one private person for the use of other private persons for private purposes is contrary to the basic right of full ownership of private property; and

WHEREAS both historically and actually the right to remain secure in ownership of one's home is the basic right underlying all other freedoms of our American Republic, and a threat to this right is a threat to all rights of Americans; and

WHEREAS such activities have been carried out under color of certain State and Federal legislation and certain State court decisions which can be altered only by appropriate legislation in our State and National Legislatures: Now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the American Legion, Department of California, opposes in principle the seizing of private property by public agencies under the color of eminent domain for resale to private persons: and be it further

RESOLVED, That this convention urge that such misuse of the power of eminent domain be curtailed by appropriate legislation in the State legislature and by appropriate amendments to existing laws by the Congress of the United States.

Urban Renewal Opponents Gain Delay

(Reprinted from THE BIRMINGHAM NEWS)

BY JACK DEAVER
News special writer

The Bessemer Improvement Assn., Inc., in its efforts to stop the proposed urban renewal program, has been circulating a printed pamphlet titled "Urban Renewal—A Socialist Scheme to Confiscate Private Property."

Accompanied by a letter inviting interested persons to join the organization, the pamphlet was sent to many downtown Bessemer business establishments. Stamped on the envelope was "Urgent—Act Now."

The letter, written by Improvement Assn. President Floyd Lemox, said the association is composed of "Bessemer merchants, property owners, and other citizens interested in the progress and improvement of Bessemer."

LEMOX SAID in his letter to the citizens that "we believe in free enterprise, the full co-operation of our entire citizenship toward beautifying our city, developing service and trade, and the efficient operation of our city government."

He referred to the proposed urban renewal project, saying, "A unified stand is essential for all of us to prevent public funds from being used to subsidize competing businesses. We will do all we can to develop and expand Bessemer, but with private capital, through a plan fair to everyone."

The proposed urban renewal project would make 30 acres of the area available for new businesses. This is the opposition's main objection to the proposal.

DOWNTOWN MERCHANTS contend that it would be unfair to use their tax money to subsidize businesses that would be in competition with them. They said they fear a relocation of the business district of Bessemer.

The pamphlet regarding urban renewal stated that there were two "ultimate aims of the urban renewal program: to provide a foothold for federal interference in local affairs, and to destroy the right to own private property."

A heated accusation in the pamphlet said that the proposal "gives the local redevelopment authority the right to condemn property which is not sub-standard or slum property, merely because the property is part of an overall redevelopment plan."

The urban renewal program has met with mixed opinion in the Bessemer area. The Improvement Assn. recommended that no decision, pro or con, be made before Oct. 1, so that additional studies of the proposal might be made. This recommendation was agreed upon by the City Commission.

(Editor's note: The pamphlet referred to in the above article is published by us, THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN Newspaper. The title of the pamphlet is: TAX FAX No. 12 - "Urban Renewal - A Socialist Scheme to Confiscate Private Property". If you would like a copy of this pamphlet, the prices are as follows: Single copy 25¢, 25 copies for $1.00; 50 copies for $2.00, etc. Just address your order to us at 7314 Zimpel St., New Orleans, La.)

When the Red nations really want Peace, we will all know it, for first they will make peace with their own people. Only when they free their own people can we know they have given up their aim of making captives of the rest of us. Until then we can be sure they will continue using "peace" and peace efforts for all they are worth to further the war they are waging against the free.

.....J. Kesner Kahn

We, The People Convention

A "Lesson to Khrushchev" Rally will be held in the Grand Ballroom of the La Salle Hotel, Chicago, on the night of Friday, Sept. 18. This is a free public rally, sponsored by WE, THE PEOPLE!, a national organization formed to fight Communism and Socialism in the United States.

Following the rally, WE, THE PEOPLE! will hold a two day convention Sept. 19-20 at which Senator Barry Goldwater will be the keynote speaker.

On Saturday evening, Sept. 19, a "Captive Nations" Rally will be held as part of the convention. There representatives of enslaved people behind the Iron Curtain will be given an opportunity to tell the truth about Khrushchev and his Red dictatorship over their people. The public is invited to this rally. (A contribution of $1 will help cover expenses.)

Interested citizens are invited to write WE, THE PEOPLE!, National Headquarters, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Chciago 2, for details.

U. S. DAY

October 23 has been officially designated as "United States Day" at which time American citizens are asked to re-dedicate themselves once again to "One Country...One Flag...One Loyalty." Thousands of individuals in communities throughout the United States are cooperating with the United States Day Committee to make this event a day of thanksgiving for the Freedoms guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution. The Committee has its national headquarters in the Boulder Building, 19 West Tenth St., Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Car stickers publicizing "United States Day" are available free from the Committee upon request. Among those directing the efforts of the Committee are W. L. Foster, Richard Lloyd Jones, Hon. Spruile Braden and Alice Roosevelt Longworth.

Everyone is urged to cooperate in making United States Day on October 23 an occasion for national re-dedication.

U.S. and Russian Colors Flying Side by Side 'Sickening' to Bridges

WASHINGTON—An outraged denunciation of reported plans to fly the Hammer and Sickle, banner of the Soviet Union, side by side with the American Flag during the coming Khrushchev visit was voiced here yesterday by Sen. Styles Bridges, chairman of the Senate Republican Policy Committee.

Bridges termed the plan to give the Soviet banner equal dignity with the American flag "sickening" and he promised to continue objecting to any and all such moves with all his strength.

Scathing Attack

Bridges, by virtue of his membership on the appropriations, Armed Services, and Aeronautic and Space Sciences Committees, has a powerful voice at the Capitol.

His scathing attack upon reported State Department plans to honor Khrushchev, who recently threatened "to bury" the United States, is another evidence of the growing split between the executive branch and Congress over foreign policy — especially that aspect involving the Soviet Union.

Bridges' position also points up the split in GOP ranks, with the Senate policy chairman vigorously denouncing a visit planned directly by the President, who was elected on a Republican ticket.

Bridges' statement follows:

"I received reports today that certain officials of our government were planning to order that the Russian flag be flown on public buildings side by side with the American flag during the visit of Soviet Premier Kikita Khrushchev to this country.

"I was shocked at such a suggestion and I immediately contacted the Office of the Chief of Protocol of the Department of State to voice my violent opposition to such a proposal.

"I was assured, however, that no such order has been given and that no decision has been reached as yet in regard to the extent of the display of the Russian Hammer and Sickle.

'Sickening'

"My opposition regarding the entire matter of this visit is well known, but any added refinement such as according equal dignity to the Russian and American flags in honor of a visit of a man who personifies tyranny and has sworn to destroy our country is not only distasteful but sickening.

(Reprinted from Manchester (N.H.) UNION LEADER)

A Terrible Outrage

What would have happened, in 1938, if President Roosevelt had suddenly announced that he had invited Adolph Hitler to visit him in the United States so that Hitler could learn more about our country and so that "tensions could be eased"? What would have happened if Hitler had invited Roosevelt to Germany on a good-will visit—and Roosevelt accepted?

There would have been violent protest rallies from one end of the country to the other. There would have been petitions signed by millions denouncing Hitler and his visit. There would have been mass meetings of protest and angry speeches in Congress. Hitler would have been denounced as a murdering beast, a dictator, a villain who had killed thousands of his own countrymen, established concentration camps for the torture of others, and enslaved by force and trickery half of eastern Europe.

Hitler could never have been invited here, to the land of freedom. Roosevelt could never have gone to Nazi Germany with the approval of the American people.

What is so different in 1959? Is Premier Khrushchev a better man than Hitler? Is he invited here because he is trustworthy, friendly and worthy of the honor of being the guest of the President of the American people?

Khrushchev is more of a murderer than Hitler ever was. He has killed hundreds of thousands of Ukranian citizens in his own land. He has enslaved from eight million to twenty-five million of his own citizens in miserable, filthy slave camps, doomed to die of torture and overwork and starvation. He holds captive more nations of eastern Europe than Hitler ever could, nine formerly free countries and half of Germany. He has outraged all decent and humane principles by violating agreement after agreement made with the United States and other countries. Is he less brutal than Hitler? Has he killed fewer people? Has he conquered less? Can we trust his words?

Don't the people of the United States any longer believe in honor, decency and morality? Don't they and their government any longer dare to affront tyrants and murderers, thieves and liars—all of which Khrushchev is? This is the man under whose orders 17 young American soldiers died *just last December*, when their unarmed plane was shot down without warning by Soviet Mig 19's. This is the man under whose orders Soviet troops smashed their way into Budapest and began the slaughter of the heroic patriots of Hungary who sought to win only their freedom from his foreign tyranny. This is the man under whose orders thousands of Hungarians were put to death; who lured their leaders by promises of good faith, and then had them murdered in violation of his pledges. This is the man who presides over an empire of millions of people yearning to be free from his savage rule.

This is the man who boasts that he and his Communist comrades will "bury us" and that one day our children will live under the same kind of dictatorship that he imposes on the slaves of his Communist state. His record for killing, lying, enslaving and torturing is unmatched by any other tyrant in modern history—except, perhaps, his predecessor, Stalin. He is worse than Hitler and Mussolini combined.

And we invite him into our American home as an honored guest? Why?

In our opinion there is only one reason for such a travesty—fear. The American people and the American government are afraid not to invite this barbarian bully to the "land of the free and the home of the brave."

It is stupid to believe that this Khrushchev will leave the United States convinced of our superiority and abandoning his hope of enslaving us, as he has enslaved others. It will only whet his appetite for our enslavement. It is blindness to believe that the United States will win anything but the disrespect and disillusionmept of the people of the captive states by bridging their jailer to our shores.

This exchange of visits between an American President and a Communist dictator is a desecration of the memory of John Foster Dulles, who fought literally until he drew his dying breath against such surrender of principle. Even more, it is a desecration of the memory of all American patriots who have fought, suffered and died for honor, for freedom and for justice in the world.

We are ashamed of our country and our President. We hope there are still enough patriotic Americans who will express their indignation and disgust at this betrayal of American principle.

(Reprinted from INDIANAPOLIS STAR)

"As appeaser is one who feeds a crocodile--hoping it will eat him last!"

-- Sir Winston Churchill

NIXON THROUGH?... From Page 1

photographed with the Hangman of the Ukraine....Nixon is now, and forevermore, identified in the mind of the American voting public as the APPEASER OF KHRUSHCHEV.

"NIXON CAN'T WIN"

It won't be long before the first whispers start - "Nixon can't win". These will grow until they reach a crescendo.

The American people are ashamed and frightened by the prospect of this Khrushchev visit, and when a people are ashamed and frightened they frantically search for scapegoat, one on whom they can place full blame - and Nixon will be that scapegoat.... Rockefeller's masterminds will see to that!

WHAT ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN CONSERVATIVES?

Conservatives in the Republican Party cannot possibly support Rockefeller and his extreme Socialist-Internationalism. They have been hoping they could support Nixon.

But can they?

Can Conservatives like Senators Barry Goldwater and Styles Bridges afford to be associated in any way with --- Nixon, the Appeaser? How could such Conservatives who fully realize the menace of International Communism live with their own consciences if they support Nixon?

It could well be that Nixon will prove to be a "magnet of destruction"; that any Conservative leaders who associate with Nixon will likewise feel the full force of outraged American indignation over the appeasement of our mortal enemy - International Communism.

If Conservative Republicans can no longer support Nixon - and of course, could not consider supporting Rockefeller, then where will they go in 1960?

There is, of course, only one answer....A NEW NATIONAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY.....not a third party, but a "second" party because Socialist-"soft-on-Communism" policies dominate both the Republican and Democrat parties. Only by the establishment of a NEW PARTY can the TWO-PARTY SYSTEM BE RESTORED!

Full-Page Ads Protest Visit

Goldwater Among Sponsors

The Committee Against Summit Entanglements (CASE), headed by Robert Welch, noted New England manufacturer and Conservative leader, has announced that it is endeavoring to place full-page advertisements in strategic daily American newspapers warning President Eisenhower of the danger of the Khrushchev visit.

Full-page anti-Khrushchev advertisements have already appeared in the following major newspapers:

Manchester (N.H.) UNION LEADER	Cincinnati Enquirer
New Bedford (Mass.) STANDARD TIMES	Indianapolis Star
Charleston (S.C.) NEWS & COURIER	Indianapolis News
Colorado Springs Gazette Telegraph	Arizona Republic
Richmond News Leader	Tulsa Tribune
Houston Chronicle	Orlando Sentinel
New York Herald Tribune	Sarasota Herald
Fort Lauderdale News	Brooklyn Tablet
Park Cities News (Dallas)	Oakland Tribune
Chicago Tribune	Dallas News
	Washington Star

In addition, the same full-page advertisements have been arranged by friends of CASE to appear in Our Sunday Visitor and The Denver Register. The Wanderer and The Calumet weekly newspapers are publishing the advertisements free of charge.

Among those serving on the executive committee of CASE are Hon. Spruille Braden, Alfred Kohlberg, Gen. A. C. Wedemeyer, Senator Barry Goldwater, Hon. T. Coleman Andrews, John U. Barr, William F. Buckley, Jr., Kent Courtney, Congressman William Jennings Bryn Dorn, Pierre S. DuPont, 3rd, Hon. J. Bracken Lee, Prof. Ludwig von Mises, Prof. Revilo Oliver, and Congressman Wint Smith.

The people never gave up their liberties but under some delusion. When bad men combine, the good must associate; else they will fall, one by one, an unpitied sacrifice in a contemptible struggle."

-- Edmund Burke

NEW PARTY . . . from Page 1

as panel members to appear in discussion groups during the two-day meeting.

The main purpose of the meeting is to plan and coordinate action for New Party political activity during the coming election year. All those persons who are interested in seeing an INDEPENDENT conservative candidate in the Presidential race in 1960 and who are willing to work on the local and State levels for such a candidate, are invited to attend the convention and to receive valuable tips from political experts on the best plan for action.

Reservations can be made ahead of time, by writing directly to the Morrison Hotel in Chicago.

If you plan to attend this important meeting in Chicago, please make your reservations as soon as possible because an overflow crowd is expected. Also, we would appreciate it if you would write us if you plan to attend, stating how many others you intend to bring with you. In this way we will be able to gauge the expected size of the audience so that arrangements can be made for additional seating capacity should such be necessary.

This NEW PARTY RALLY in Chicago may prove to be a turning point in history as the Conservatives in this nation muster their forces to turn back the tide of "galloping Socialism" and "softness toward Communism."

We'll see you in Chicago!!!!

dear reader

Unless you are a paid subscriber to this newspaper, this free sample copy may be the ONLY ONE you receive.

To Keep Up With News of NEW PARTY ACTIVITY

mail coupon TODAY

SUBSCRIPTION FORM

I wish to subscribe to "The Independent American"

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY AND STATE _____

Please send me the next 12 issues – $3.00 _____

Please send me the next 24 issues – $5.00 _____

Please send me a SPECIAL TRIAL OFFER

A-S 4 issues – $1.00 _____

Please Make Checks Payable to

THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN

7314 Zimpel Street New Orleans 18, La.